

#### CONTENTS continued

The behavioral scientist in public health	
A behavioral science service, Thomas McCorkle	
Integrating the behaviorist, William Steiger	
Uses of the behavioral sciences, William J. Meyer	
Social scientist's viewpoint, Peter K. New	
Community-migrant relationship, Ernest M. G.	
Kuhinka Cultural patterns in a rural area,	
Louise E. Sweet	
Manpower for American pharmacy	
Robert F. Fischetts	
Immunization status of two-year-old infants in Memphis	
and Shelby County, Tennessee	
Nobel Guthrie	
Congenital malformation surveillance system based on	
vital records	
Samuel Milham, Jr.	
Danismes titensmis 11.	
A forward stride in occupational health	
Robert J. Anderson	
Methodology in morbidity surveys	
Short reports and announcements:	
Occupational health notes	
Kentucky plan for local accident prevention	
Analytical reference service	
Speech defects from cleft palate	
Resolution on permissions	
Commissioner of Welfare	
Appraising health programs	
Uniform accounting by voluntary agencies	
New vital and health statistics series	
International mail pouch	
Publication announcements	
Federal publications	
2 odotus publicutiono	
Published concurrently with this issue:	
Public Health Monograph No. 70 Meth-	
odology in Two California Health Surveys, San Jose	

(1952) and Statewide (1954-55). H. William Mooney.

Summary and information on availability appear on page 456.



#### MANAGING DIRECTOR

J. Stewart Hunter, M.A.

Assistant to the Surgeon General
for Information

Office of Information and Publications

#### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

George St.J. Perrott

Chairman

HERBERT R. DOMKE, M.D., DR.P.H.
ROBERT DYAR, M.D., DR.P.H.
DONALD J. GALAGAN, D.D.S., M.P.H.
LEO J. GEHRIG, M.D.
WESLEY E. GILBERTSON, M.S.P.H.
JAMES HUNDLEY, M.D.
ROSCOE P. KANDLE, M.D., M.P.H.
CHARLES V. KIDD, PH.D.
LUCILE P. LEONE, R.N., M.A.
DAVID LITTAUER, M.D.
MARGARET F. SHACKELFORD, M.S.
JAMES R. SHAW, M.D.
JAMES WATT, M.D., DR.P.H.

#### STAFF

Marcus Rosenblum		Editor
Winona Carson	Managing	Editor
Martha Seaman Asst.	Managing	Editor

Address correspondence to Editor, Public Health Reports, Public Health Service, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington 25, D.C.

Opinions expressed are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect the views of Public Health Reports or the Public Health Service. Trade names are used for identification only and do not represent an endorsement by the Public Health Service.

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

ANTHONY J. CELEBREZZE, Secretary

### PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

LUTHER L. TERRY, Surgeon General



BYRD, OLIVER E. (Stanford University), MALKIN, HAROLD M., REED, GEORGE B., and WILSON, HAL W.: Safety of iodine as a disinfectant in swimming pools. Public Health Reports, Vol. 78, May 1963, pp. 393-397.

After an iodine compound was used as a disinfecting agent in three outdoor swimming pools at Stanford University there was no evidence of inhalation, ingestion, or absorption of iodine by 30 male students who swam in the pools for 1 month.

Baseline protein-bound iodine and total urinary determinations were made for all swimmers. Determinations were repeated after one exposure, after 1 week, and after 1 month. The PBI average for the group before exposure was 4.7; after 1 month it was 4.9. The urinary total iodine determinations for the group averaged 71 before exposure and 74 after 1 month.

During 11 days of sampling, only one sample showed a total plate count in ex-

cess of the California State standards for swimming pools. All presumptive tests for the presence of bacteria of the coliform group were negative.

Medical examinations of both eyes of 28 swimmers exposed to the pools for 1 month revealed no evidence of conjunctivitis in 27, and only minor eye irritation in 1

Of 20 Stanford swimmers, 17 preferred iodine to chlorine as a pool disinfectant, as did 48 of 53 swimmers at an intercollegiate meet. The remainders of both groups had no preference.

The authors concluded that iodine as a swimming pool disinfectant is safe, effective, and superior to chlorine in regard to eye discomfort and irritation.

ALBRECHT, ROBERT M. (New York State Department of Health), BIGWOOD, DAVID E., Jr., LEVY, WALTER C., QUINLIVAN, JAMES J., ROGERS, EVELYN F. H., and WESTMAN, ELDON R.: Oral poliovirus vaccination program in central New York State, 1961. Public Health Reports, Vol. 78, May 1963, pp. 403-412.

An outbreak of type 1 poliomyelitis occurred in Madison, Oneida, and Onondaga Counties, N.Y., in August and September 1961. Parts of these counties, with a population of 472,600, were designated to receive type 1 oral poliovirus vaccine.

Seventy cases of paralytic disease occurred in the epidemic area. Poliovirus type 1 was isolated from 26, a Coxsackie or ECHO virus from 6, and from 6 no virus was recovered.

Inactivated poliovirus vaccine did not prevent the outbreak although incidence was appreciably lower in those who had had at least three doses. Only 9 of the 64 patients (excluding those with Coxsackie or ECHO virus) had as many as four doses of inactivated vaccine.

Type 1 oral poliovirus vaccine, meant for residents under 50 years of age, was administered to 356,000 people, including some nonresidents and some persons over age 50. At least 76 percent of the resident population under 50 took oral vaccine. Practically all of the vaccine was given August 29–31.

No serious illnesses unquestionably due to oral vaccine were reported. Seventeen cases of paralytic poliomyelitis had onset 1–19 days after the patients had taken oral vaccine. The intervals suggest that these cases were not due to the vaccine. The patients were primarily adults.

The oral vaccine was given when the outbreak was on the decline in Madison and Oneida Counties. In Onondaga County, it was given at or immediately after the peak. No cases of paralytic poliomyelitis occurred in the area after 3 weeks after the vaccination program. While experience with the course of outbreaks in the post-Salk era is limited, it is likely that the rather abrupt decline in paralytic poliomyelitis in Onondaga County was due to oral vaccine.

The episode proved the ability of State and local public health workers to mobilize and carry out an effective poliomyelitis vaccination scheme with the assistance of volunteers.

The nature of a paper, not its importance or significance, determines whether a synopsis is printed. See "Information for Contributors" on next page.

# Information for Contributors

PUBLIC HEALTH REPORTS welcomes from any source all contributions of value to public health.

Most of the readers of Public Health Reports are practicing public health officials. About 10 percent of the monthly circulation of Public Health Reports goes overseas. About half of the domestic circulation goes to Federal, State, and local government agencies concerned with health and related health interests. A quarter goes to institutions accredited for teaching in health and related fields, to teachers, and to libraries. The journal also reaches research institutions, hospitals, and professional and voluntary public health organizations.

Tearsheets. In lieu of reprints, senior authors are provided with 50 to 100 sets of tearsheets after publication. Associate authors receive a smaller number.

Manuscript review. Manuscripts submitted for publication are reviewed by technical experts, and authors are given the benefit of their comments before type is set. Authors also receive edited typescripts for approval and are given the opportunity to correct galley proofs. Authors are responsible for the accuracy and validity of all material, including tables, charts, and references. Special editorial assistance in preparing or revising manuscripts is available on request, to the limit of staff resources.

Manuscripts are reviewed with the understanding that they have not been committed for publication elsewhere. Appropriate information should be provided if a paper has been given or is prepared for presentation at a meeting.

Manuscript form. Authors will facilitate review and publication if they submit an original and three carbon copies of their manuscripts. All copy should be typed double spaced, and each page should end with a completed paragraph. Of course, several paragraphs may appear on a typed page.

References should be given in the style used by Public Health Reports.

Footnotes should be worked into the text or offered as supplemental items.

Authors are expected to recognize scientific contributions by those who have assisted in their papers only if such contributions warrant mention in the text or in the paragraph identifying the authors. It is not the policy of *Public Health Reports* to publish "acknowledgments."

Synopses. To facilitate secondary publication, Public Health Reports publishes synopses of selected papers, principally research studies. Authors are requested to submit with appropriate papers a synopsis of not more than 200 words. The staff will supply on request information on preparation of synopses.

Secondary publication. Secondary publication of articles in Public Health Reports is provided in various abstracting journals. Articles are also indexed in the annual Cumulated Index Medicus (American Medical Association), the monthly Index Medicus (National Library of Medicine), the Engineering Index, the Hospital Literature Index, and the biannual supplements to the Cumulative Index to Nursing Literature.

Bound copies. Librarians and others should preserve their copies for binding, as the Public Health Service does not supply bound copies. Indexes are published each year in the December issue.

PUBLIC HEALTH MONOGRAPHS, edited and issued by *Public Health Reports*, must be submitted through constituent agencies of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Most Public Health Monographs are placed on sale by the Superintendent of Documents; series subscriptions are not available. Monographs are not included in subscriptions to Public Health Reports.

Address correspondence on editorial matters to: Editor, Public Health Reports, Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington 25, D.C.